

Numerical Solution of Integral Equations.

Inverse Theory

- relatively recent topic
- many different kinds of equations + many different algorithms for the same problem

Classification:

1. Fredholm equations:

- definite integrals with fixed upper and lower limit

1.1. Fredholm equation of first kind (inhomogeneous):

$$g(t) = \int_a^b k(t,s) f(s) ds \quad (1)$$

$g(t)$ - the unknown continuous kernel function
 $k(t,s)$ - kernel
 $f(s)$ - the unknown continuous kernel function

(integral eq. have the right-hand side and the left-hand side are exchanged)

Analogous to $K \cdot \vec{f} = \vec{g}$ with sol. $f = K^{-1}g$

(1) has a unique solution whenever $g \neq 0$ (for $g=0$ it is almost always unusable) and K is invertible;

however in most cases K is not invertible

if $k(t,s) = k(t-s)$ and $a = -\infty, b = \infty \Rightarrow f(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{F}_t^{-1}[g(t)](\omega)}{\mathcal{F}_t[k(t)](\omega)} e^{i\omega t} d\omega$

1.2. Fredholm equation of second kind

$$f(t) = \lambda \int_a^b k(t,s) f(s) ds + g(t) \quad (2)$$

analogous to $(K - \lambda I) \cdot \vec{f} = \vec{g}$ (finite dim. eigenvalue problem)

for $g=0 \Rightarrow$ homogeneous equation

The solution of a general Fredholm int. eq. is called integral equation Neumann series

• 1.2.a) homogeneous $g=0$

If $K(t,s)$ bounded (margin), then eq. (2) has solution for at most a denumerably set of infinite set λ_m $m=1,2,3, \dots$ eigenvalues
 $\Rightarrow f_m(t)$ eigenfunctions
if in addition $K(t,s)$ is symmetric $\Rightarrow \lambda_m$ are real

• 1.2.b) inhomogeneous $g(t) \neq 0$

- have solutions except for the case when λ is eigenvalue
 \Rightarrow the integral op / matrix is singular
(the Fredholm alternative)

eg. (1) are often ill-conditioned

$K.f$ acts like a smoothing op \Rightarrow loss of information
 \Rightarrow can't get it back in an inverse operation \Rightarrow

inverse problems

+ one uses extra information on the solution
"prior knowledge" used to restore lost info

eg. (2) - hom. - likewise not ill-posed
- inhom. - not often

2. Volterra equations

- special case of Fredholm eq. with $k(t,s)=0$ for $s>t$
=> upper limit can be rewritten as t

2.1. Volterra equation of first kind

$$g(t) = \int_a^t k(t,s) f(s) ds \quad (3)$$

analogous to $K \cdot \vec{f} = \vec{g}$ for components $\sum_{j=1}^k k_{ij} f_j = g_i$

- in fact Volterra eq. corresponds to a matrix K that is lower triangular

⇓
easy to solve with forward substitution

- eq. do not tend to be ill-conditioned

2.2. Volterra equation of second kind

$$f(t) = \int_a^t k(t,s) f(s) ds + g(t) \quad (4)$$

analogous to $(K - I) \vec{f} = \vec{g}$
↳ lower triangular

- λ is absorbed in K for inhomog. eq.
- if $g=0$, a theorem states that eq. (4) has no eigenval. with square-integrable eigenfunctions

$k(t,s)/f(s)$ linear integral equations

$k(t,s, f(s))$ > non linear —
 $k(t,s, f(s), f(t))$ more complicated/
not often in practice

— most methods to solve integrals numerically are based on quadratures, frequently Gaussian

• e.g. for solving the Fredholm equation of second kind with Simpson's rule:

$$\phi(x) = \lambda \int_a^b k(x,y) \phi(y) dy + g(x) \quad (5), \quad x \in [a, b]$$

Simpson's rule (composite)

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = \frac{h}{3} (f_0 + f_{2n}) + 4 \sum_{i=1}^n f_{2i-1} + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f_{2i} + O(h^4)$$

$$\text{unde } h = \frac{b-a}{2n}$$

$$x_i = a + ih$$

$$f_i = f(x_i)$$

$$i = 0, 1, \dots, 2n$$

(6)

• define: $x_i = a + ih$

$$h = \frac{b-a}{2n} \quad y_j = a + jh$$

$$i, j = 0, 1, \dots, 2n$$

notation:

$$f(x_i) = f_i$$

$$g(x_i) = g_i$$

$$k(x_i, y_j) = k_{ij}$$

(6) in (5)

\Rightarrow

$$g_i = f_i - \frac{\lambda h}{3} \left(K_{i,0} f_0 + K_{i,2m} f_{2m} + 4 \sum_{j=1}^m K_{i,2j-1} f_{j-1} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} K_{i,2j} f_j \right)$$

$i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2m$ (7)

One has to solve the linear set of equations with unknowns f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{2m} to determine approximations of $f(x)$ in the chosen points.

One can apply different quadrature rules . . .

- for Volterra equation the method is similar just that the upper limit of the integral is x_i
 $\Rightarrow i = 2m$ and in (7) one replaces m by $\frac{i}{2}$. . .
and so on.